August 26, 2022

Katherine Wisinski Assistant City Attorney City of Brentwood 150 City Park Way Brentwood, CA 94513-1164

Re: Your Request for Advice

Our File No. A-22-079

Dear Ms. Wisinski:

This letter responds to your request for advice on behalf of City of Brentwood ("City") Mayor Joel Bryant regarding the conflict of interest provisions of the Political Reform Act (the "Act").¹

Please note that we are only providing advice under the conflict of interest provisions of the Act and not under other general conflict of interest prohibitions such as common law conflict of interest or Section 1090.

Also note that we are not a finder of fact when rendering advice (*In re Oglesby* (1975) 1 FPPC Ops. 71), and any advice we provide assumes your facts are complete and accurate. If this is not the case or if the facts underlying these decisions should change, you should contact us for additional advice.

QUESTION

May Mayor Bryant participate in City decisions regarding the proposed Orchard Grove Project, a residential development located 355 feet from a site leased by his employer, Family Life Center?

CONCLUSION

The Act does not prohibit Mayor Bryant from taking part in the decision as there are no facts indicating that the decision will have a material financial effect on his employer as a source of income, and there are no facts indicating that there is a "nexus" between Mayor Bryant or his

¹ The Political Reform Act is contained in Government Code Sections 81000 through 91014. All statutory references are to the Government Code, unless otherwise indicated. The regulations of the Fair Political Practices Commission are contained in Sections 18104 through 18998 of Title 2 of the California Code of Regulations. All regulatory references are to Title 2, Division 6 of the California Code of Regulations, unless otherwise indicated.

spouse's income from Family Life Center and the development decision. (Regulation 18702.3(a) and (b).)

FACTS AS PRESENTED BY REQUESTER

The City of Brentwood ("City") is currently processing a land use application for a development project for 51 residential homes and other associated improvements on a vacant site known as the "Orchard Grove." The Orchard Grove Project was reviewed for purposes of the California Environmental Quality Act ("CEQA") through preparation of an Initial Study and Mitigated Negative Declaration. Forty-five of the homes would be sold at market rate, while five would be sold to households of moderate incomes, and the remaining unit would be sold to a low-income household. Both the Brentwood General Plan and the Brentwood Municipal Code envision the development of the site with residential uses. The Orchard Grove Project site is bordered by Adams Lane to the west, Gracie Lane to the south, and Lone Oak Road to the east. The City Council is the final approval body for the requested Project entitlements.

The Rock Church, located at 1770 Adams Lane, is roughly 355 feet north of the Orchard Grove Project Site. The Rock Church is a nondenominational Christian fellowship that has entered into an agreement with another Christian ministry, the East Bay Pentecostals, a nonprofit religious corporation that does business as Family Life Center. Under this agreement, which you confirmed is for a month-to-month rental, Family Life Center holds religious services and devotional studies at The Rock Church facilities ("Church parcel") on Adams Lane one day per week. There is no other relationship between Family Life Center and The Rock Church, or any of its directors or members, outside of the month-to-month rental agreement.

Mayor Bryant is employed by Family Life Center as a senior pastor, and in this capacity, leads services and studies at The Rock Church parcel once each week. Mayor Bryant does not maintain an office or other permanent space at this location and has no financial interest in The Rock Church's holdings. His salary from the Family Life Center is not dependent upon or otherwise related to the finances of The Rock Church. In response to our inquiries about his income, you confirmed that his spouse is also employed as a Senior Pastor by the Family Life Center.

You state that the City is unaware of any facts "indicating the Project would result in an increase or decrease of the Family Life Center's annual gross receipts, in an amount equal to or more than the listed amounts in Regulation18702.3." In response to our request for additional information, you provided by email that "[b]eyond their general proximity, the City is not aware of any connection between the Orchard Grove residential development and either The Rock or the Family Life Center. We are not aware of any instance in which the Orchard Grove development would be likely to be a future residence for either organization, as the Orchard Grove development will consist of single family and duet style homes, not religious institutional uses. Moreover, The Rock Church has approved land use entitlements to construct a parsonage on its property when and if the church's need for housing arises." As far as the congregation of the Family Life Center, Mayor Bryant provided "that most of its members live in the East County area, which spans from Concord (26 miles from Brentwood) and Pleasanton (31 miles from Brentwood) to Discovery Bay (9 miles from Brentwood), although some members live as far away as Sacramento (64 miles from Brentwood)."

Lastly, the City states it is unaware of any connection between (a) the City Council's decision as to whether or not to approve the Orchard Grove residential development, and (b) any purpose or goal of the Family Life Center.

ANALYSIS

Under Section 87100 of the Act, no public official at any level of state or local government shall make, participate in making or in any way attempt to use their official position to influence a governmental decision in which the official knows or has reason to know the official has a financial interest. A public official has a financial interest in a decision within the meaning of Section 87100 if it is reasonably foreseeable that the decision will have a material financial effect, distinguishable from its effect on the public generally, on the official, a member of the official's immediate family, or on certain specified economic interests. (Section 87103.) Among those specified economic interests is "[a]ny source of income . . . aggregating five hundred dollars (\$500) or more in value provided or promised to, received by, the public official within 12 months prior to the time when the decision is made." (Section 87103(c).) You have identified that Mayor Bryant and his spouse have a source of income interest in their nonprofit employer, Family Life Center, a month-to-month lessee of The Rock Church.

Regulation 18701(a) provides the applicable standard for determining the foreseeability of a financial effect on an economic interest explicitly involved in the governmental decision. Under this regulation, where a financial interest is a named party or the subject of a governmental decision before the official or the official's agency, it is presumed that the financial effect is reasonably foreseeable. A financial interest is the subject of a proceeding if the decision involves the issuance, renewal, approval, denial or revocation of any license, permit, or other entitlement to, or contract with, the financial interest, and includes any governmental decision affecting a real property financial interest as described in Regulation 18702.2(a)(1)-(6). Under the facts provided, Family Life Center is not a named party nor a subject of the decision.

Where, as here, an official's economic interest is not explicitly involved in the governmental decision, the applicable standard for determining the foreseeability of a financial effect on the economic interest is as follows: "[a] financial effect need not be likely to be considered reasonably foreseeable. In general, if the financial effect can be recognized as a realistic possibility and more than hypothetical or theoretical, it is reasonably foreseeable. If the financial result cannot be expected absent extraordinary circumstances not subject to the public official's control, it is not reasonably foreseeable." (Regulation 18701(b).)

Regulation 18702.3(a)(3) provides one applicable standard for determining whether the reasonably foreseeable financial effect of a governmental decision on an official's financial interest in a nonprofit source of income is material. It requires the examination of three areas of possible impacts on the nonprofit entity as a result of the decision: annual gross receipt or asset/liability changes, changes to expenses, and impacts on a real property interest. For the first category, the financial effect is material if the decision may result in an increase or decrease of the nonprofit organization's annual gross receipts, or the value of the organization's assets or liabilities, in an amount equal to or greater than \$1,000,000; or five percent of the organization's annual gross receipts and the increase or decrease is at least \$10,000. (Regulation 18702.3(a)(3)(A).) For the

second, the effect is material if the decision may cause the entity to incur or avoid additional expenses or to reduce or eliminate expenses in an amount equal to or greater than \$250,000; or one percent of the organization's annual gross receipts and the change in expenses is at least \$2,500. (Regulation 18702.3(a)(3)(B).) The third element found in Regulation 18702.3(a)(3)(C) is not applicable, as Family Life Center does not have a real property interest in this matter because an interest in real property does not include a periodic tenancy of one month. (Regulation 18233.)

For the first category: there are no facts indicating that Family Life Center will see an increase or decrease in its annual gross receipts, value of its assets or liabilities, in an amount equal to or greater than \$1,000,000; or an increase or decrease of at least \$10,000 in its gross receipts. (Regulation 18702.3(a)(3)(A).) For the second, there are no facts indicating that the decision may cause Family Life Center to incur or avoid additional expenses or to reduce or eliminate expenses in an amount equal to or greater than \$250,000; or one percent of the organization's annual gross receipts and the change in expenses is at least \$2,500. (Regulation 18702.3(a)(3)(B).) Accordingly, it does not appear reasonably foreseeable that the decision would have a financial impact meeting the materiality standards specified above. However, these are not the only materiality standards applicable.

Regulation 18702.3(b), "nexus test," provides another applicable standard and states that the reasonably foreseeable financial effect on a source of income to a public official or the official's spouse is material if the decision will achieve, defeat, aid, or hinder a purpose or goal of the source of income, and the official or the official's spouse receives or is promised the income for achieving the purpose or goal. Under the facts provided, there is no indication that the income received by Mayor Bryant or his spouse to serve as pastors has a nexus with the development project located near to the property leased by their employer. For this reason, we conclude there is not a nexus between the work Mayor Bryant and his spouse are paid to perform for Family Life Center and the decisions coming before him in his capacity as an elected City official.

If you have other questions on this matter, please contact me at (916) 322-5660.

Sincerely,

Dave Bainbridge General Counsel

L. Karen Harrison

By: L. Karen Harrison Senior Counsel, Legal Division